

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/823,021	Applicant(s) TSINBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner BJ Forman	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

 (1) BJ Forman.

 (2) James J. Schumann.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 January 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15-16 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments were discussed to more clearly claim the device and place the process claims commensurate in scope with the allowable product. Amendments were agreed upon and are made by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)